



DOMINON VOTING SYSTEMS

OVERVIEW 12/2/20 – History,
Executives, Vote Manipulation
Ability and Design, Foreign Ties

Katherine Friess

Table of Contents

<i>An Overview of Dominion Voting Systems</i>	2
<i>History and Timeline of Dominion Voting Systems</i>	2
<i>Key Figures</i>	5
<i>Dominion Hardware and Software Vulnerabilities</i>	7
Hardware	7
Dominion Voter Systems has Components Manufactured in China	7
Test Voting Failures	7
Misleading Message.....	7
Disappearing Message	7
Sharpie-Marked Ballots	7
Software	7
Dominion Software is Vulnerable to Cyber Attacks.....	7
Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) Products Can Cause Malfunctions	8
Smartmatic Software is Corrupt by Design.....	8
<i>The Trump-Biden 2020 Election: A State by State Review</i>	11
Arizona	11
Georgia	11
Michigan	11
Pennsylvania	11

An Overview of Dominion Voting Systems

Dominion Voting System is a Canadian company that sells electronic voting hardware and software, founded in 2002 by John Poulos, the company’s current CEO. It is currently the second largest voting hardware and software company in the world.ⁱ Dominion Voting Systems is subjected to multiple hardware, software, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities, as exemplified by various electronic voting equipment tests conducted by universities and state government officials. The Canadian company uses Smartmatic software, according to an affidavit of a high ranking Venezuelan military official who was present when Smartmatic was first used in Venezuelan elections.ⁱⁱ Dominion is also tied to the Clinton Foundation, as well as several state-run Venezuelan software and telecommunications companies, which had been involved with rigging elections for authoritarian leaders, like Hugo Chavez, and Nicolas Maduro. For example, Antonio Mugica, the founder of Smartmatic, admitted that his software could be hacked to rig an election when he told a press conference in London that Maduro’s government had inflated voter turnout by 1 million votes.ⁱⁱⁱ Dominion Voting Systems was used in 29 states across the U.S. during the 2020 Election, and is responsible for several glaring technology “glitches” which resulted in thousands of votes being added to Joe Biden’s total ballot count.

History and Timeline of Dominion Voting Systems

2000	Smartmatic was founded in 2000 by three Venezuelan engineers, Antonio Mugica, Alfredo José Anzola and Roger Piñate, to rig election results in favor of Venezuelan dictator Hugo Chavez, according to an affidavit of a former high-ranking Venezuelan military official who was present at the founding of the company ^{iv} Smartmatic was officially incorporated in Delaware in 2000 by Alfredo Jose Anzola. ^v Later that year, Smartmatic located its headquarters in Boca Raton, Florida, after receiving funds from private investors. ^{vi} The company was then contracted to automate the electoral processes for the 2004 Venezuelan election. Since 2004, Smartmatic software has been used in local and national elections in Africa, Argentina, Belgium, Brazil, Chile, Ecuador, Italy Mexico, the Philippines, Singapore, the United Kingdom, the United States, and Venezuela.
2002	Dominion Voting Systems, a Canadian company that sells electronic voting hardware and software, was founded by John Poulos in 2002. It was originally headquartered in Denver, Colorado. The company provides election technology to countries globally, including the U.S., Canada, and Venezuela. ^{vii} The company uses Smartmatic software, and sends all of its vote tabulation data to Smartmatic during elections, according to the high-ranking Venezuelan military official’s affidavit. ^{viii}
2004	By 2004, Smartmatic expanded, with offices in Venezuela, London, Florida, and California. Smartmatic also had acquired Bizta, 28% owned by the Venezuelan government, which Smartmatic also had a large stake in. ^{ix} Bizta is also operated the owners of Smartmatic, Antonio Mugica and Alfredo Anzola. ^x During the 2004 Venezuelan election, Bizta and Smartmatic partnered with CANTV, a state-run Venezuelan telecommunications company, ^{xi} to win a \$91 million contract to supply electronic voting machines for the upcoming election. ^{xii} Prior to this, Smartmatic was a “little-known firm” with no experience in voting technology before it was chosen by Venezuelan government officials to replace elections machinery prior to the

	controversial August 2004 election. ^{xiii} Smartmatic, to date, denies any sort of link to the Chavez regime. ^{xiv}
2005	In 2005, Smartmatic purchased Sequoia Voting Systems, which is based in the U.S., but is owned by Venezuelan nationals. ^{xv}
2006	<p>Sequoia provided technical assistance in the elections held in Chicago and Cook County by sending a number of Venezuelan nationals to support the machines manufactured by Sequoia.^{xvi} In April of 2006, a Carnegie Mellon University computer science professor tested the Sequoia machines, and found that the machines could be easily manipulated and tampered with. This prompted Pennsylvania’s Allegheny County to turn down the purchasing of these voting machines.^{xvii}</p> <p>In May of 2006, Congresswoman Carolyn Maloney (D-NY) wrote a letter to the Treasury Department^{xviii} raising concerns about Venezuelan state-owned Smartmatic’s purchase of Sequoia voting machines, which provides election machinery throughout the United States. She also cites Antonio Mugica and Alfredo Anzola who own Smartmatic, also own and operate Bizta, which is partially owned by the Venezuelan government.^{xix} This sparked an investigation conducted by the U.S. Committee on Foreign Investment in the U.S. (CFIUS) on Smartmatic’s takeover of Sequoia, due to speculations of being linked to the regime of Hugo Chavez.^{xx} Smartmatic quickly sold Sequoia, and the investigation ended.^{xxi}</p>
2010	Canadian company, Dominion Voting Systems, purchased the assets of Sequoia Voting Systems in 2010, a subsidiary of Smartmatic up until the CFIUS investigation in 2006. ^{xxii}
2013	Dominion Voting Systems and Smartmatic contracted in both 2010 and 2013 to provide electronic voting machinery and software for the Philippines elections, where claims of fraud, technology glitches, and malfunctions abounded. ^{xxiii}
2014	<p>In 2014, Dominion Voting Systems agreed to provide “emerging” and “post-conflict” democracies with donated voting technologies through the DELIAN project, which the Clinton Foundation provided up to \$2.25 million in funding to.^{xxiv} The DELIAN project is a non-governmental organization registered in Canada that was launched in 2014. Through this project, Dominion Voting Systems technology was donated to Barbados, Dominica, Guyana, Jamaica, and South Africa.^{xxv}</p> <p>In 2014, Lord Malloch Brown became the chairman of the board of SGO Corporation Limited, a holding company based in London whose primary asset is Smartmatic electronic voting machine technology.^{xxvi} Lord Malloch-Brown is a member of the British House of Lords, and a former vice-chairman of George Soros’ Investment Funds, and former vice-president at the World Bank.^{xxvii} The <i>Washington Examiner</i> reported that Smartmatic’s “globalist ties” have raised serious concern in the U.S. government for interference U.S. electoral processes.^{xxviii}</p>
2016	During the 2016 Trump-Clinton election, seventy-one million voters in 1,635 jurisdictions used Dominion, also tied to the Clinton Foundation, and a \$2.25 million project together. ^{xxix}

Key Figures



John Poulos, CEO of Dominion Voting Systems

- John Poulos founded Dominion Voting Systems with James Hoover in 2003.
- Hoover is currently the company's Vice President of product line management.^{xxx}
- Dominion sells electronic voting hardware and software in the United States and Canada.



Nadeam Elshami, Lobbyist @ Brownstein, Hyatt et al.

- Nadeam Elshami was a former Chief of Staff to House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-CA).^{xxxii}
- Elshami currently lobbies for Dominion Voting Systems; Dominion has paid him \$150,000 this year so far, ^{xxxii} and \$120,000 in 2019.^{xxxiii}



Antonio Mugica, Founder of Smartmatic

- Founder of Anoto Group AB, a Swedish cloud-based software provider, and Director of Panagroup Corporation in Caracas, Venezuela.
- Smartmatic provides software for Dominion Voting Systems, according to a high-ranking Venezuelan military official present when the Smartmatic software was created.^{xxxiv}
- In the late 1990s, Mugica and his two other Venezuelan colleagues, Jose Anzola and Roger Pinate, created a system where thousands of data inputs could be placed into a network simultaneously. This system eventually build the foundation of Smartmatic.



Lord Mark Malloch-Brown, Former Minister of State for Africa, Asia, and the United Nations

- In 2014, Mugica partnered with British Lord Malloch-Brown to launch the SGO Corporation Limited, considered the London-based parent company of Smartmatic.^{xxxv}
- Lord Mark Malloch Brown has been closely associated with George Soros.
- Through his work at Refugees International, he was part of the Soros Advisor Committee on Bosnia from 1993-1994,
- Mark Malloch-Brown rented an apartment owned by Soros while working at the United Nations.^{xxxvi}
- In 2002, Malloch Brown proposed that the United Nations and Soros's Open Society Institute, and other organizations, should work together to fund humanitarian functions.^{xxxvii}
- In 2007, George Soros appointed Malloch Brown vice-President of the Quantum Fund.^{xxxviii} Later that year, Malloch Brown was named vice-chairman of the Soros Fund Management and the Open Society Institute, two of Soros's most prominent organizations.^{xxxix}



Admiral Peter Neffenger, Chairman of the Board of Directors for Smartmatic, Biden Transition Team

- Admiral Neffenger of the United States Coast Guard served under the Obama-Biden Administration as the Transportation Security Agency Administrator, from 2015 to 2017.
- Admiral Neffenger is currently the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Smartmatic.^{xi}
- Neffenger currently is on Vice President Biden's "Transition Team," conducting Agency Review for the U.S. Department of Homeland Security team.
- Neffenger was made a 'distinguished fellow' at the Atlantic Council think tank after he left the US government in 2017.
- Ukrainian energy firm Burisma Holdings, which employed Biden's son Hunter in controversial circumstances, donated \$100,000 a year to the council from 2016 to 2018, while Neffenger was there.^{xli}
- In 2019, the British government, the government of the United Arab Emirates, Facebook, Goldman Sachs & Co, Bahaa Hariri, the brother of the former Lebanese Prime Minister, Saad Hariri, and the Rockefeller Foundation all donated over \$1 million to the Atlantic Council.^{xlii}

Dominion Hardware and Software Vulnerabilities

Hardware

Dominion Voter Systems has Components Manufactured in China

John Polous, the CEO of Dominion Voting Systems, admitted that there are components in Dominion Voter Systems electronic vote tabulation machines that are made in China: these components include LCD components, actual glass screen on interface, chip component level of capacitors and resistors.^{xliii}

Test Voting Failures

During voting tests conducted on the Democracy Suite 5.5 Dominion Voting Systems electronic ballot recording technology by an engineer named James Sneeringer of the Texas Attorney General's office in 2019, party names and proposition text were not displayed properly on the display screen, and one scanner was not accepting any ballots. Sneeringer concluded that these errors were caused by mistakes Dominion had made while setting up the standard test election used by the Texas Secretary of State. Additionally, the scanner had supposedly been accidentally configured not to accept machine-marked ballots.

Misleading Message

During testing, the ballot-marking devices **incorrectly informed voters** that they were casting their ballots, when in fact they were **only printing them**. The ballots are not counted until they were scanned on a different device.^{xliv}

Disappearing Message

While scanning ballots during the test run, a message quickly flashed on the display so briefly that it could not be read. After several attempts to re-scan the ballot, Sneeringer discerned that the message read "Ambiguous Marks," which was displayed for a second or less, then reverts to a "System Ready" message. Sneeringer concluded that the voter would have absolutely no way of knowing what, if anything, is wrong with their ballot or if it was recorded, since the error message does not stay on the screen long enough to read it.^{xlv}

Sharpie-Marked Ballots

In January 2019, Brandon Hurley of the Texas Secretary of State's office conducted another inspection on the Democracy Suite 5.5 voting systems. Dominion Voting Systems instructed that only black Sharpie markers should be used for marking ballots to be counted by the Democracy Suite 5.5 ballot scanner. However, when the black sharpie was used during testing, it did, on a few occasions, bleed through to the back side of the two-sided ballot in such a way that it could confuse the ballot scanner or kick the ballot out. This poor resolution on the scanner also failed to pick up some of the printed wording on the ballots.^{xlvi}

Software

Dominion Software is Vulnerable to Cyber Attacks

Jake Stauffer, an Air Force cyber analyst who tested Dominion voting systems for the state of California prior to the 2020 election, observed vulnerabilities in Dominion's Democracy Suite

(DDC) voting equipment which enabled remote code execution, denial of service attacks, and off-line ballot tampering.^{xlvii}

The election software “glitching” in GA & MI, which incorrectly gave Joe Biden thousands of votes, is used in 29 states nationwide. Dominion blamed these glitches on a day-before “update,” which never actually happened, according to supervisor Marcia Ridley, of the Spalding County Board of Elections in Georgia. Dominion then corrected their statement, citing that its last update to its software was Oct 31.^{xlviii}

Dominion’s instruction manual explains how votes can be wiped away in an instant, with the capability of “dragging and dropping” votes to a separate folder, then deleting that folder entirely.^{xlivx} Several Democrats over the years like Caroline Maloney, [Elizabeth Warren](#), [Amy Klobuchar](#) have written letters with expert reports and some documentation of how corrupt this (Smartmatic) software is, and nobody in the U.S. government has taken action to date.¹

Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) Products Can Cause Malfunctions

The use of COTS printers with the ImageCast system, which takes pictures of ballots (in ballot marker mode) is a cost-savings measure, but the COTS hardware presents issues for service. During the inspection in Texas in 2019, one examiner noted that having the printer tray ajar during the voting process caused the system to wipe out all selections and require the voter to start over after the print tray is fixed. This would require a poll worker interaction and could greatly slow down the voting process.^{li}

Smartmatic Software is Corrupt by Design

In a sworn affidavit, a former high-ranking Venezuelan Military Officer states that the Smartmatic software was designed so that votes could be changed without being detected, and was set up so that there would be no public evidence of tampering with votes.^{liii} He also mentions that Smartmatic software is used in Dominion Voting Systems.^{liiii} He cites that voters could scan their thumbprints on the Dominion scanner, fingerprints would be tied to his or her name and identity on record as having voted, but that voter would not be made aware that his or her vote had been changed in favor of another candidate. The officer cites that Smartmatic agreed to develop the software and hardware to accomplish favorable electoral results for President Chavez in 2004. After the Smartmatic electoral system was put in place, the officer closely observed several elections where results were manipulated by the Smartmatic software.^{liv}

For example, in December 2006, when Chavez ran against Rosales, Chavez ended up winning with a landslide over Rosales with a margin of nearly 6 million votes for Chavez, and 3.7 million votes for Rosales due to vote manipulation by the Smartmatic software.^{lv}

The military official was in the control room where multiple digital display screens were showing results and voting, because the Smartmatic machines all over Venezuela were connected to the internet. Because of this, government officials in the control room could observe the actual voting results in real time, and figure out if the vote was in their favor or against them.^{lvi}

Government officials in charge of the computer tabulation of votes had control of the reporting of votes by moving votes from one candidate to another through the Smartmatic software connected to the internet. When a candidate is losing, for example, during the Maduro-Radonski election in 2013, Maduro’s supporters utilized the Smartmatic software to calculate how many votes were needed to defeat the opponent, and made a decision mid-election to reset the entire system to fix results.^{lvii}

Maduro and his supporters ordered the network controllers to take the internet offline in all parts of Venezuela: it took voting operators approximately 2 hours to change the votes from Radonski to Maduro. When they the internet was turned back on, Maduro’s supporters checked each screen state by state to be certain that each vote was changed in favor of Maduro.^{lviii}

Admiral Neffenger, who is the chairman of the board of directors at Smartmatic, is assigned to Agency Review under the Department of Homeland Security on Biden’s 2020 Presidential “transition” team.^{lix}

Sidney Powell cites that this Smartmatic software has been used to change election results all over the world, so it would be highly unlikely that Gina Haspell and the entire CIA would not know about it. During the 2020 election, Dominion voting machines were connected to the internet in 29 different states; anywhere these machines were connected to the internet, votes were being watched in real time, and switched for Biden if President Trump was ahead.^{lx}

Evidence of Dominion Voting Systems and Smartmatic Election Technology Corruption

Affidavit of a Venezuelan Lawyer^{lxi}

A Venezuelan lawyer legally residing in Florida who contested the Venezuelan presidential elections before the Venezuelan Supreme Court in 2012, 2013, along with the Venezuelan voting system in 2018 before the Venezuelan Supreme Court cited that in 2018, the Venezuelan Supreme Court came to a decision and determined that Smartmatic software was not acceptable for managing the Venezuelan elections.^{lxii} The Court also ordered that the Venezuelan Prosecutor open an investigation to Smartmatic’s Directors because of their “participation in corrupt activities violating bidding law provisions.”^{lxiii} In 2004, she noted that Bizta and Smartmatic entered a bidding process to provide both voting equipment and software to the Venezuelan National Electoral Council. Both companies had been “practically inactive” until then and had “no previous experience in performing elections.”

Two members of the Board of Directors of the Venezuelan National Council were not allowed to supervise the bidding process because they were “perceived as favoring the opposition,” and one of the resigned because of this.^{lxiv} Shortly before the 2004 elections, Jorge Rodriguez, an officer in the Venezuelan government who would serve as Chavez’s appointed Vice President in 2007, said that all optic scanners in Venezuela had to be substituted for electronic voting machines.^{lxv} She mentioned that there was no rational reason to support the contracting of Smartmatic-Bizta in 2004 for the Venezuelan elections, especially since the Venezuelan government had spent \$94 million on voting equipment just 5 years earlier.^{lxvi}

After the 2004 Venezuelan Recall Referendum in 2004, she cites statistical studies such as Guillermo Salas’s paper entitled “The Beginning of the electronic vote in Venezuela and the debate on its performance,” that proved that Chavez had, in fact, lost the referendum. After numerous reports of fraudulent elections involving Smartmatic software used in both the 2004 Chavez election, and the 2013 Maduro election, in addition to a growing “global international repulsion” for President Maduro, Smartmatic then decided to stop backing Venezuelan elections in 2018.^{lxvii}

Affidavit of a Corporate Finance Consultant^{lxviii}

An investment banker and corporate finance consultant reported on concerns of two highly qualified colleagues in the technology space; one is an engineer in developing networks for Fortune 500 companies, and one is an architect for major Healthcare HMO networks and the largest software companies in the world.

The two individuals reported concerns about reports of Dominion Voting Systems “crashing” in Georgia’s counties. Dominion’s website denied this claim, but the technology experts suspect this is a “dodge.” The experts suspect that the Log-in-System “KnowInc” connected to the Dominion “PollPad-System,” are the systems that crashed, which resulted in poll logging in voters with their own poll-worker ID cards and codes, which compromised every single vote cast this way; once this happened, all votes cast were linked to the identities of the poll workers instead of the voters.^{lxix}

He also cites that versions of Android used in the Dominion systems noted by analyst Brian Mechler, who conducted an inspection of Dominion electronic voting machines for the Secretary of State of Texas in 2019, are so outdated that they are not even supported by Google from a security standpoint.^{lxx} For this reason, the affiant cites that it is unclear how Dominion could have met its obligations to maintain adequate security practices, or secure any faith in this system or its results.^{lxxi}

The affiant mentions that the report from Texas alone should warrant an audit of the Dominion system, and votes should be recast.^{lxxii}

Affidavit of a Former Venezuelan Professor^{lxxiii}

A former professor at the Universidad Central de Venezuela and current U.S. citizen and automotive industry algorithm technical specialist at ZF cites his involvement in the 2004 Chavez Recount Referendum, experience with Smartmatic technology, and parallels in the Trump-Biden 2020 Election. He had written a report in 2011 entitled “Analysis of the 2004 Venezuela Referendum: The Official Results Versus the Petition Signatures.”^{lxxiv} The report found that it is verified that the 2004 Recall Referendum results in each automated voting center were invented based on the “number of signatures requesting referendum collected in each voting center,” and that the set of voting centers that were same-day audited is correlated with the number of signatures collected in those centers.”^{lxxv} This meant that the exit polls anomalies would only be explainable if results were manipulated in some way related to the signatures, and that the difference between the official and true result is estimated at 1.3 million votes with an error of 20%.

When the affiant heard that the Smartmatic technology was being used in Dominion Voting Systems equipment, he was alarmed and cited several critical parallels. In 2004, a “continuous satellite” connection was introduced by Smartmatic since 2004 in Venezuela’s voting centers, and was only supposed to be used for laptops in the voting center entrance to verify identity of electors and prevent people from voting twice. The equipment was used in close proximity to the voting machines in Venezuela, which left possibilities of communication with an illegal command center providing unfair advantages to one of the parties, similarly to the affidavit of the high-ranking Venezuelan military official. The affiant mentions that in the U.S., there are now reports of voting machines being connected to the internet, similar software glitches changing votes, voting software updates the night before the election, which is “unacceptable” he mentions because a new audit would be needed after the update, and that the Newcomb-Benford distributions to voting data follow votes for Donald Trump more than those of Joe Biden. This is similar to how votes for

Chavez in 2004 similarly did not follow the distribution, while those of the opposition followed it well.

Affidavit of a Concerned Citizen in Antrim County, MI^{lxxvi}

The morning after the election, William Bailey (Finishing write up)

The Trump-Biden 2020 Election: A State by State Review

Arizona

Maricopa County used the same Dominion Voting Systems (ImageCast 6 Hardware and Democracy Suite software) that caused problems in Antrim County, Michigan.

Maricopa is home to 4.5 million residents, making it by far the state's most populous county, and the fourth most populous county in the United States. The county seat is Phoenix, the state capital and the fifth-most populous city in the United States.⁸ • As results currently stand, Maricopa will be the only county in the entire state of Arizona to flip from Republican to Democrat in the 2020 election. Of these 2 million votes, about 1.875 million of them have been cast via absentee ballots, or nearly 94% of the total.

Joe Biden currently leads President Trump by 2% in Maricopa County, resulting in nearly a 5% swing from the 2016 outcome.

Georgia

A surge of 20,000 mail-in votes suddenly appeared for Joe Biden resulting from a Dominion Voting System glitch, while approximately 1,000 votes for President Trump disappeared. Joe Biden currently holds a razor-thin lead.^{lxxvii} In Gwinette County, vote tabulating at 3:00 AM on November 4th halted, when a Dominion tabulation glitch occurred, in which 4,400 mail-in ballots had arrived that were left to count, along with 1,000 provisional ballots.^{lxxviii}

Garland Favorito filed an affidavit to the Georgia Secretary of State's office claiming that the votes were "artificially inflated" by Dominion, in favor of Joe Biden.^{lxxix} In 2019, Georgia contracted with Dominion to automate vote tabulations in all 159 of its counties.^{lxxx} Ahead of Georgia's early voting on October 12th, Secretary of State Raffensperger mandated that Georgia's 30,000 voting machines adopt Dominion Voting Systems software for the upcoming 2020 Election.^{lxxxii}

Michigan

Dominion Voting Systems switched 6,000 votes for President Trump to Biden, in Antrim County.^{lxxxiii} Dominion Voting Systems is used in 47 counties across Michigan.^{lxxxiii}

Pennsylvania

In 2019, 67 counties in Pennsylvania, including Jefferson, York and Montgomery, installed Dominion Voting Systems electronic ballot counting machines.^{lxxxiv} There were several issues reported already, for example, in York, in which Dominion's electronic voting machines were jamming and not counting ballots which caused delays.^{lxxxv}

Resources

- ⁱ <https://www.citizen.org/news/public-citizen-calls-on-largest-voting-machine-vendor-to-stop-selling-machines-that-connect-to-the-internet-increase-costs-to-taxpayers/>
- ⁱⁱ <https://amgreatness.com/2020/11/17/sworn-affidavit-from-high-ranking-military-officer-claims-smartmatic-was-designed-to-change-votes-without-being-detected/>
- ⁱⁱⁱ <https://nation.com.pk/16-Nov-2020/president-of-soros-linked-voting-software-firm-on-biden-transition-team-trump-lawyers>
- ^{iv} <https://amgreatness.com/2020/11/17/sworn-affidavit-from-high-ranking-military-officer-claims-smartmatic-was-designed-to-change-votes-without-being-detected/>
- ^v "[Division of Corporations - Filing - SMARTMATIC CORPORATION](#)". *State of Delaware - Division of Corporations*. [State of Delaware](#). Retrieved 22 July 2017.
- ^{vi} Hernandez, Sandra (9 July 2004). "Boca Raton, Fla., electronic voting system maker gambles on Venezuela vote". *[Knight Ridder Tribune Business News](#)*.
- ^{vii} <https://www.dominionvoting.com/about/>
- ^{viii} https://www.ntd.com/whistleblowers-reveal-how-software-manipulates-votes-to-change-election-results_529213.html
- ^{ix} <https://votingmachines.procon.org/additional-resources/sequoia-alleged-to-have-ties-to-venezuelas-chavez/>
- ^x <https://votingmachines.procon.org/additional-resources/sequoia-alleged-to-have-ties-to-venezuelas-chavez/>
- ^{xi} <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-venezuela-cantv/service-dont-rely-on-venezuelas-state-telecoms-firm-cantv-idUSKCN1NR1GQ>
- ^{xii} <https://votingmachines.procon.org/additional-resources/sequoia-alleged-to-have-ties-to-venezuelas-chavez/>
- ^{xiii} <https://votingmachines.procon.org/additional-resources/sequoia-alleged-to-have-ties-to-venezuelas-chavez/>
- ^{xiv} <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB116674617078557263>
- ^{xv} <https://www.chicagotribune.com/news/ct-xpm-2006-04-08-0604080149-story.html>
- ^{xvi} ABC Local, 7 April 2006, [Alderman: Election Day troubles could be part of ‘international conspiracy’](#)
- ^{xvii} <https://www.chicagotribune.com/news/ct-xpm-2006-04-08-0604080149-story.html>
- ^{xviii} <https://maloney.house.gov/sites/maloney.house.gov/files/documents/financial/acquisitions/20061130CFIUSmartmatic.pdf>
- ^{xix} <https://electionupdates.caltech.edu/2006/10/28/us-government-investigating-ownership-structure-of-sequoia-voting-systems/>
- ^{xx} <https://votingmachines.procon.org/additional-resources/sequoia-alleged-to-have-ties-to-venezuelas-chavez/>
- ^{xxi} <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB116674617078557263>
- ^{xxii} <https://web.archive.org/web/20100704220058/http://dominionvoting.com/images/pdfs/DominionAcquiresSequoiaFinal.pdf>
- ^{xxiii} <http://www.capecharlesmirror.com/news/check-the-code-dominion-voting-system-tied-to-hugo-chavez-voter-fraud-used-in-2020-swing-states/>
- ^{xxiv} <https://www.clintonfoundation.org/clinton-global-initiative/commitments/delian-project-democracy-through-technology>
- ^{xxv} <https://www.clintonfoundation.org/clinton-global-initiative/commitments/delian-project-democracy-through-technology>
- ^{xxvi} [Ahmed, Murad](#) (24 November 2014). "[Lord Mark Malloch-Brown to chair election technology group SGO](#)". *Financial Times*. Retrieved 8 June 2018.
- ^{xxvii} <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/politics/officials-raised-concerns-for-years-about-security-of-us-voting-machines-software-systems>
- ^{xxviii} <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/politics/officials-raised-concerns-for-years-about-security-of-us-voting-machines-software-systems>
- ^{xxix} <http://www.capecharlesmirror.com/news/check-the-code-dominion-voting-system-tied-to-hugo-chavez-voter-fraud-used-in-2020-swing-states/>
- ^{xxx} <https://gnews.org/568111/>
- ^{xxxi} <https://justthenews.com/politics-policy/elections/trump-lawyer-forthcoming-evidence-shows-dominion-vote-count-manipulated>
- ^{xxxii} <https://www.opensecrets.org/federal-lobbying/clients/summary?id=D000068717>
- ^{xxxiii} <https://www.opensecrets.org/federal-lobbying/clients/lobbyists?cycle=2019&id=D000068717>

xxxiv <https://amgreatness.com/2020/11/17/sworn-affidavit-from-high-ranking-military-officer-claims-smartmatic-was-designed-to-change-votes-without-being-detected/>

xxxv <https://nation.com.pk/16-Nov-2020/president-of-soros-linked-voting-software-firm-on-biden-transition-team-trump-lawyers>

See Also

<https://www.thetrumpet.com/23167-what-massive-voter-fraud-has-already-taught-us>

xxxvi "[An Annan Deputy Is a Soros Tenant](#)". *The New York Sun*. 15 June 2005. Retrieved 13 July 2007.

xxxvii "[Press Conference "Foreign Minister of Mexico and UNDP Administrator - March 18, 2002"](#)". *www.un.org*. [United Nations](#). Retrieved 9 March 2017.

xxxviii "[Ex-Deputy U.N. Chief Joins With Soros](#)". *The New York Sun*.

xxxix "[Mark Malloch Brown appointed Vice-Chairman of Soros Fund Management, Open Society Institute](#)". [Global Public Policy Institute](#). 21 May 2007. Archived from [the original](#) on 20 November 2008.

xl <https://www.smartmatic.com/us/about/board-of-directors/detail/peter-neffenger/>

xli <https://www.wsj.com/articles/ukraine-companys-campaign-to-burnish-its-image-stretched-beyond-hunter-biden-11573154199>

xlii <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/support-the-council/honor-roll-of-contributors-2019/>

xliii <https://www.c-span.org/video/?c4921488/user-clip-dominion-parts-china>

xliv <https://www.sos.texas.gov/elections/forms/sysexam/oct2019-sneeringer.pdf>

xlv <https://www.sos.texas.gov/elections/forms/sysexam/oct2019-sneeringer.pdf>

xlvi <https://www.sos.state.tx.us/elections/forms/sysexam/jan2019-hurley.pdf>

xlvii <http://www.capecharlesmirror.com/news/check-the-code-dominion-voting-system-tied-to-hugo-chavez-voter-fraud-used-in-2020-swing-states/>

xlviii <http://www.capecharlesmirror.com/news/check-the-code-dominion-voting-system-tied-to-hugo-chavez-voter-fraud-used-in-2020-swing-states>

xliv <https://romesentinel.com/stories/media-dismisses-powells-claims-of-evidence-of-election-tampering,106739>

l <https://romesentinel.com/stories/media-dismisses-powells-claims-of-evidence-of-election-tampering,106739>

li <https://www.sos.state.tx.us/elections/forms/sysexam/jan2019-hurley.pdf>

lii <https://amgreatness.com/2020/11/17/sworn-affidavit-from-high-ranking-military-officer-claims-smartmatic-was-designed-to-change-votes-without-being-detected/>

liii <https://amgreatness.com/2020/11/17/sworn-affidavit-from-high-ranking-military-officer-claims-smartmatic-was-designed-to-change-votes-without-being-detected/>

liv https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n_p1sonhp-k

lv https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n_p1sonhp-k

lvi https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n_p1sonhp-k

lvii https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n_p1sonhp-k

lviii https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n_p1sonhp-k

lix https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n_p1sonhp-k

lx https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n_p1sonhp-k

lxi Affidavit of Adriana Vigilanza

lxii Affidavit of Adriana Vigilanza

lxiii Affidavit of Adriana Vigilanza

lxiv Affidavit of Adriana Vigilanza

lxv Affidavit of Adriana Vigilanza

lxvi Affidavit of Adriana Vigilanza

lxvii Affidavit of Adriana Vigilanza

lxviii Affidavit of Douglas Anderson

lxix Affidavit of Douglas Anderson

lxx Affidavit of Douglas Anderson

lxxi Affidavit of Douglas Anderson

lxxii Affidavit of Douglas Anderson

lxxiii Affidavit of Douglas Anderson

lxxiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.3009>

lxxv Affidavit of Douglas Anderson

lxxvi Affidavit of William Bailey

lxxvii <https://thecitizen.com/2020/11/15/georgia-voting-irregularities-the-curious-case-of-bidens-20000-vote-surge/>

-
- ^{lxxviii} <https://www.politico.com/news/2020/11/04/georgia-absentee-ballot-count-delay-434018>
- ^{lxxix} <https://thecitizen.com/2020/11/15/georgia-voting-irregularities-the-curious-case-of-bidens-20000-vote-surge/>
- ^{lxxx} <https://thecitizen.com/2020/11/15/georgia-voting-irregularities-the-curious-case-of-bidens-20000-vote-surge/>
- ^{lxxxi} <https://www.ajc.com/politics/election/in-high-stakes-election-georgias-voting-system-vulnerable-to-cyberattack/TBFT5U5BH5AZZPFPZTP3LFQ7RY/?clearUserState=true>
- ^{lxxxii} <https://californiaglobe.com/section-2/how-many-california-counties-use-glitchy-dominion-voting-system/>
- ^{lxxxiii} <https://californiaglobe.com/section-2/how-many-california-counties-use-glitchy-dominion-voting-system/>
- ^{lxxxiv} <https://www.witf.org/2019/11/05/few-reports-of-problems-as-pa-counties-roll-out-new-voting-technology/>
- ^{lxxxv} <https://www.witf.org/2019/11/05/few-reports-of-problems-as-pa-counties-roll-out-new-voting-technology/>